

Concepts and methods for assessing economic impacts from climate change on water resources

Brian Hurd

Deb.28.2017

Introduction

- ▶ Long-run changes in climate and water supply
- ▶ Persistent changes in temperature and precipitation
- ▶ Changes in surface and groundwater supplies

Influences

- ▶ Falling groundwater tables and rising pumping costs
- ▶ Higher evapotranspiration rates and rising irrigation costs
- ▶ Increases in water competition and demand
- ▶ Greater user-restrictions to domestic water users

Estimating water's economic value

- ▶ Water's instrumental value in providing goods and services
- ▶ Food, drinking, health, cleaning, manufacturing, waste removal, navigation, etc.

Changes in willingness-to-pay

- ▶ (nonpublic good) Commercial water demand and cost schedules: e.g, municipal water rates
- ▶ Valuing water in crop production, industrial, household use, and flood risk reduction (Young and Loomis, 2014)
- ▶ (public good: externalities, non-rivalry) Water quality, wetland, recreation
- ▶ Non-market methods with stated or observed preferences

Two approaches

- ▶ Hydro-economic models: watershed-based models
- ▶ Reduced-form hedonic estimation: the capitalization of climate variables in land values

Hydro-economic models

- ▶ Spatially disaggregated, intertemporal watershed models
- ▶ Incorporating water sources and supply functions, water use and demand functions

Goal

- ▶ Optimize water use and storage decisions
- ▶ Optimize patterns of interregional trade
- ▶ Examine climate change impacts on drought (Hurd and Coonrod, 2012) and endangered species (Ward and Pulido-Valazquez, 2008)

Hydro-economic models

Assumptions

- ▶ Water move freely between users, ignoring transaction costs and institutional barriers to water transfer
- ▶ Optimizing over time permits "perfect foresight", anticipating future climate patterns and inflows.

Hydro-economic models: Present Value of Net economic Benefit

- ▶ Choose flows F_{nt} , diversions W_{nt} , and aquifer pumping rates R_{nt} to maximize

$$PVNB = \sum_t dt \sum_n \left(\sum_i [B_{nit}(W_{nit}) - C_{nit}(W_{nit})] \right. \\ \left. + Q_{nt}(S_{nt}) + H_{nt}(R_{nt}) + E_{nt}(F_{nt}) - D_{nt}(F_{nt}) \right)$$

- ▶ t, n, i represents time periods, river nodes and consumptive uses
- ▶ B_{nt}, C_{nt} define benefits and costs as function of diverted water W_{nt}
- ▶ Q_{nt} and H_{nt} generate value from water stored S_{nt} and released R_{nt}
- ▶ E_{nt} and D_{nt} are environmental services and damages of flow F_{nt}
- ▶ Subject to *Flow-balance constraint* and *Storage-balance constraint*

Reduced-form hedonic estimation: the Ricardian approach

- ▶ The climate-irrigation model: (Mendelsohn and Dinar, 2003)

$$V = \int_t [\sum_i P_i Q_i(X, F, Z, G, H, S_{sw}) - \sum_j R_j X_j - R_H H] e^{-rt} dt$$

- ▶ V stands for the per hectare farmland value, expressed as the present value of net economic returns
- ▶ Q_i is the total quantity of crop i produced
- ▶ A vector of j inputs X_j purchased at prices R_j
- ▶ F, Z, G, H, S stands for climate variables, soil quality, economic conditions, irrigation technology, and surface water supply

Reduced-form hedonic estimation: the Ricardian approach

- ▶ The climate-irrigation model: (Mendelsohn and Dinar, 2003)
 - ▶ Rising marginal value of water as temperature rises
 - ▶ Include interaction terms to test sensitivity to climate variables, such as temperature and precipitation changes

Regional empirical results

- ▶ California

- ▶ Scarcity costs: \$360 million/year from lost of agricultural production and urban water shortages
- ▶ Operating costs: \$384 million/year
- ▶ Additional policy costs: \$250 million/year from limiting interregional water transfers
- ▶ Other papers also examines the capitalization of various water characteristics in land values such as access to multiple sources and reliability

Regional empirical results

- ▶ Columbia river and Pacific Northwest
 - ▶ Significant reductions in snowpack and shifts to earlier peak runoff could cause 43% losses to summer irrigation by 2080s.
- ▶ Rio Grande
 - ▶ An estimated total economic loss of approximately 0.2% of GDP, combining agricultural and urban sectors
- ▶ Colorado River
 - ▶ Hydro-economic model combined with incremental climate change scenarios, the losses approached nearly \$1.4 billion under 2.5 degree Celcius with 10% reduction in precipitation. (Hurd et al, 1999a)